Whole Schooling Consortium



International Journal of Whole Schooling

Inside this issue:

Message from the editors	3
Towards Whole Schools: Building a movement for dialogue and action in the 21st century by Michael Peterson	4-7
No child left behind: The emperor has no clothes By Andrew Johnson	8-12
Collaboration, co-teaching, and differentiated instruction: A process-oriented approach to Whole Schooling <i>By Lorri Santamaria and Jacque Thousand</i>	13-27
The curriculum of becoming human: A rumina- tion By Carl Leggo	28-36
Struggling decoders: Reading fluently and mak-	37-38
ing meaning of text By Bill Henderson	
	39-43
By Bill Henderson Book review— Peterson & Hittie (2003) 'Inclusive teaching'	
By Bill Henderson Book review— Peterson & Hittie (2003) 'Inclusive teaching' Reviewed by Sue Lehr	
By Bill Henderson Book review— Peterson & Hittie (2003) 'Inclusive teaching' <i>Reviewed by Sue Lehr</i> The six principles of Whole Schooling are.	
By Bill Henderson Book review– Peterson & Hittie (2003) 'Inclusive teaching' Reviewed by Sue Lehr The six principles of Whole Schooling are. (1) empowering citizens for democracy;	
By Bill Henderson Book review– Peterson & Hittie (2003) 'Inclusive teaching' Reviewed by Sue Lehr The six principles of Whole Schooling are. (1) empowering citizens for democracy; (2) including all;	
 By Bill Henderson Book review– Peterson & Hittie (2003) 'Inclusive teaching' Reviewed by Sue Lehr The six principles of Whole Schooling are. (1) empowering citizens for democracy; (2) including all; (3) providing authentic, multi-level instruction; 	
 By Bill Henderson Book review– Peterson & Hittie (2003) 'Inclusive teaching' Reviewed by Sue Lehr The six principles of Whole Schooling are. (1) empowering citizens for democracy; (2) including all; (3) providing authentic, multi-level instruction; (4) building community; 	

Visit the Whole Schooling Consortium website at; www.wholeschooling.net

http://www.wholeschooling.net

Management Team

- Billie Jo Clausen, Editor
- Tim Loreman, Editor
- Lynne Tamor, Manager,
 - Whole Schooling Press
- Michael Peterson, Coordinator, Whole Schooling Consortium
- Jen Snape, Marketing
- Laurie Lietz, Marketing

Visit the Journal website at:

http://www.wholeschooling.net/ Journal_of_Whole_Schooling/IJWSindex.html

Mission Statement

The International Journal of Whole Schooling is a fully refereed on-line journal published twice a year and governed by the management team and an independent Editorial Review Board. The International Journal of Whole Schooling is a non-profit venture run by volunteer staff. Subscription is free.

The Journal seeks to discuss issues relevant to Whole Schooling, with contributions from a variety of stakeholders including students, parents, academics, educators, and administrators.

Contributions and feedback are welcome. Please contact Tim Loreman at <u>tim.loreman@concordia.ab.ca</u> or Billie Jo Clausen at <u>bclausen@mesd.k12.or.us</u>

Editorial Review Board



Each article published in the International Journal of Whole Schooling is reviewed by the editors and two or more members of the Editorial Review Board. The following people comprise the Editorial Review Board:

Richard (Dick) Baker Candee Basford Carolyn Biar Joe Bishop David Boesch Jeffrey Cohen Jan Colliton Nancy Creech Carolyn Das Michael Delaney Joanne Deppeler Ishwar Desai

Glen Dunlap Karen Feathers Doug Fisher Chris Forlin Pam Garriott Rich Gibson Mavis Hagedorn Nancy Hartshorne David Harvey Bill Henderson Peter Hick Tom Hoeksema Carol Holst Chris Horrocks Andrew Johnson Cheryl Jorgensen Venta Kabzems Liz Keefe Chris Kliewer Paula Kluth Carl Lashley Margaret Latta Brenda Love Judy Lupart June Mc Conaghy Margaret Mc Vea William Miller Mark Morawski Julianne Moss Sigamoney Naicker Joanne Neal Thomas Neuville Orah Raia Heather Raymond Lynne Remson Wayne Ross Dianne Ryndak Umesh Sharma Roger Slee Lauri Stein Noel Strickland Jacqueline Thousand Vianne Timmons Richard Villa

The International Journal of Whole Schooling (ISSN 1710—2146) is published by Whole Schooling Press (Edmonton, Canada office). The journal is published twice yearly in September and February. Subscription is free for both individuals and institutions at http://www.wholeschooling.net/Journal of Whole Schooling/IJWSindex.html

Copyright © Whole Schooling Press. All rights reserved. Reproduction and further distribution by subscribers of this journal or individual articles contained within it are encouraged. Whole Schooling Press authorizes subscribing individuals and institutions to make up to 50 copies of journal content without seeking prior permission. We are pleased to assist those subscribers wishing to make more than 50 copies at no charge. These institutions or individuals should obtain permission from journal management via email at tim.loreman@concordia.ab.ca.

The International Journal of Whole Schooling welcomes articles from a wide variety of perspectives - educators, parents, academics, students, advocates, and concerned citizens. Those wishing to submit articles for consideration should consult the guidelines for submitting articles at <u>http://www.wholeschooling.net/Journal_of_Whole_Schooling/</u> <u>LJWSindex.html</u>. There are no page charges in the International Journal of Whole Schooling.

Practice

Struggling decoders: Reading fluently and making meaning of text

Bill Henderson

Until I started losing my sight, decoding and reading had never been a problem for me. When I reached my late 30's though, my central vision began to deteriorate due to retinitis pigmentosa. I tried using a closed circuit television screen, which darkened and enlarged the print I placed under it. This worked for about 7 years, but further deterioration of my vision meant that I had to enlarge the characters so much that I could only see 1 small word or just a part of a larger word on the screen at any time. Trying to read anything that was longer than a page was very frustrating. Not only did my eyes tire, but I also lost some of the meaning of the text from the effort extended trying to decode.

While in my 40's, I started studying Braille. Braille has become very helpful for me both in terms of taking notes and labeling materials. Although I do read some articles in Braille and do appreciate receiving program agendas and menus in this alternate format, my reading speed in Braille is such that I have still not developed the fluency/speed to tackle longer publications or large books.

As an elementary school teacher and principal for the past 31 years, I have had ample opportunities to listen to students read. By the time that they are in the third grade, most students are able to read with fluency and make sense of at least simple texts. Some students with learning disabilities plod through the same texts that their peers read with ease. When I think about these students, I am struck with how their reading in many ways mirrors my reading when I use my eyes and, to a lesser extent, my fingers. They take longer to read. They seem to get tired more quickly. They often have trouble comprehending what they have read since they put so much energy into decoding the words.

A primary responsibility of elementary schools is to help children become independent readers. Once children have started decoding, teachers work hard to make sure that students have access to "just right" books – books that they can read with fluency, good understanding and at least 95% accuracy. Effective teachers support students by offering appropriate word analysis and comprehension strategies so that students can progress to increasingly challenging material.

Despite intensive instruction, there are some students with specific learning disabilities who still do not and may never read with fluency. Although these students may have average or above average intelligence, some struggle through grade level texts at relatively slow speeds and without full comprehension. It is hard for these students to keep up with the large quantity of reading required when just using their eyes. They often get frustrated, some get lower grades, and some do give up.

Being an elementary principal, I am expected to read many educational articles, reports, and official documents. With my limited vision, there is no way that I could read even a fraction of these readings using the closed circuit television screen with extra large magnification. And even if these materials were all available in Braille, I would have to dramatically increase my Braille speedin order to keep up with the expected reading. Fortunately, we have entered the digital age. Most articles and documents are available electronically. With one of the various speech output software packages, I can listen to just about anything that can be found on a computer. Although it took a little getting used to at the beginning, I am now quite comfortable listening to digitalized text. Most software packages allow the user to select from a variety of voices and to control the speed of the speech. After much practice, I am able to set the speed at fast settings so that I can listen to text as quickly as I could see it when my central vision was normal. My comprehension of the texts that I listen to digitally is usually strong, but If I get confused I can either slow down the speech or listen to the text a word or a line at a time.

No one usually questions whether I am "reading independently" because I am listening to books, articles, and reports on cassette or digitally via computer. Most would think, "hey, he's blind, and that's the only way that he can make sense of those things." However, many do think that students with learning disabilities who use these same accommodations are not "reading independently." This misconception is rooted in the false assumption that just because some students with learning disabilities can read some print with their eyes, then they should always follow this "normal" or "preferable" manner of "independent reading."

The ultimate goal of reading is, of course, making meaning of text. Reading relies on thinking, and it is the comprehension of text, which is most important. Clearly, one needs to be able to decode text in order to make meaning of it. However, does it really matter whether or not that decoding occurs using one's eyes, one's ears, or one's fingers?

More and more people with and without disabilities are listening to text. Books on cassettes and books on CD's are becoming increasingly popular in libraries and bookstores. Digitalized books and newspapers are regularly listened to over computers and telephones. Learning to make meaning of these voice renditions or digitalized texts takes practice. There are also many ways to adapt the sounds of text to tailor to individual needs and enhance comprehension.

No one is suggesting that educators should stop trying to teach students with learning disabilities to decode more effectively with their eyes. Early intervention and ongoing instruction in proven intervention programs should continue. However, once someone who struggles with decoding starts falling behind, it is imperative to provide opportunities to keep that student engaged with the volume and variety of text.

Listening to text is an option that needs to be promoted more in all classrooms. In some instances, students may benefit from both hearing and seeing the text at the same time. Cassettes can be used along with books. Scanners and computers can provide opportunities to see and to hear. Teachers need to create a classroom environment in which there is no stigma in reading in different ways. Listening to books or feeling them with one's fingers should be recognized as other ways of reading

Students who have learning disabilities and who continue to struggle with decoding deserve the opportunity to try listening to text. If listening to text enhances their fluency and comprehension, then such opportunities should be provided in all subjects including reading. Such accommodations, if beneficial, should be documented in students Individual Education Plans. Students with learning disabilities have the capacity to become independent readers. We can do a much better job helping more of them realize that success.

Bill Henderson is Principal at O'Hearn Elementary School in Boston, MA, USA.

"Students who have learning disabilities and who continue to struggle with decoding deserve the opportunity to try listening to text."

Whole Schooling Consortium



WE INVITE YOU to join us! You can make a difference! We are growing the Consortium through the grassroots efforts of teachers, parents, faculty, administrators, and community members. If you are interested in being involved, contact us at:

Wholeschooling@comcast.net

http://www.wholeschooling.net

The Whole Schooling Consortium is an international network of schools and individual teachers, parents, administrators, university faculty and community members. We are concerned with the following central problems that deepen our social and individual problems: segregation of children based on ability, ethnicity, gender, socio-economic status and other characteristics; standardization and narrowing of curricula, stifling creativity, critical thinking, and democratic engagement; narrowly focused standardized assessment that centers schooling around the taking of a test rather than learning and creates competition and rivalry across schools; punishment of schools and educators rather than providing help, support and assistance; consequent creation of school cultures of tension, anger, and pressure preventing what should be a place of joy, fun, community, and care: and lack of attention to economic and social needs of children. Schools, we believe, are central if we are to have a democratic society and inclusive communities where people of difference are valued and celebrated. Schools must be places that encourage the development of the whole child – linking talent development and social, emotional, cognitive, and physical learning. We believe this is necessary and possible.

Education for a Democratic Society

Excellence and Equity Together

