International Journal of Whole Schooling

Article Review Rubric – Voices

Article Title:

Reviewer Name:

Type of article	Style	Content
- Research	(please place an X next to the boxes	(please place an X next to the boxes
- 'Voices'	which apply)	which apply)
- Practice		
- Opinion		
- Review		
	 Arguments made in a logical and 	☐ Content is highly relevant to current
	concise manner.	discourse on the topic.
	 Plain language consistently used 	☐ If references are used they are
Suitable for	throughout.	current
immediate	'Person first' language is	☐ The story or experience provides
publication	consistently used throughout.	a unique perspective on the topic
	 Appropriate length for the topic. 	□ Where conclusions are drawn
	 Full adherence to APA style. 	they are logical.
	 Appropriate use of tense and 	☐ The article provides the reader
	grammatical structures.	with a strong 'message' about the
	Free of spelling errors.	personal or 'human' elements of the
	 Full referencing according to 	topic.
	APA guidelines.	□ Discussion is consistent with the
		6 principles of Whole Schooling.
	 Arguments are made in a logical 	□ Content is relevant to current
	manner.	discourse on the topic.
Suitable for	 Some redundancies and passages 	□ References, where used, require
publication with	to be trimmed.	updating.
revisions	 Plain language generally used. 	☐ The story or experience is not
(Please list suggested	□ 'Person First' language is mostly	unique but provides an important
revisions on 'page 2')	used.	perspective on the topic
_	Mostly adheres to APA style.	☐ Where conclusions are drawn, they are
□ То	 Appropriate use of tense and 	incomplete.
satisfaction of	grammatical structures.	☐ The article provides the reader
editors	☐ Free of spelling errors.	with a 'message' about the
□ New review	□ APA Referencing attempted but	personal or 'human' elements of
required	imperfect.	the topic, but it needs to be
	 Few 'clumsy' passages. 	communicated with greater
		clarity.
		□ Discussion is consistent with the 6
		principles of Whole Schooling.

	☐ Evidence of plagiarism or	 Content is outdated or irrelevant.
	improper referencing.	 References, where used, are
	☐ Incoherent and 'clumsy' passages.	outdated.
Unsuitable for	 Arguments are not made in a 	 The perspective is not original or
publication	logical and concise manner.	important.
	□ Plain language not used.	 Where conclusions are drawn they
	☐ 'Person first' language is rarely or	make little sense.
	never used.	 The article fails to adequately
	☐ Inappropriate length for the topic.	provide the reader with a
	☐ Little adherence to APA style.	'message' about the personal or
	☐ Inappropriate use of tense and	'human' elements of the topic.
	grammatical structures.	 Discussion is inconsistent with the 6
	 Significant spelling errors. 	principles of Whole Schooling.

General impressions and further comments:

Specific advice on what is required to make this article suitable for publication (if not already). What types of support might the author require?